

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

x

**IN RE YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE)
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

This Document Relates to:

Judge David R. Herndon

Parker-Lawnsby et al v. Bayer Corporation, et al. No. 3:11-cv-12099-DRH-PMFⁱ

Doherty v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al No. 3:12-cv-10231-DRH-PMF

*Redricks et al v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al No. 3:13-cv-10537-DRH-PMF*²

Lewis v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al No. 3:13-cv-10566-DRH-PMF

Glover v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al No. 3:13-cv-10640-DRH-PMF

Bailog v. Bayer Corporation No. 3:14-cv-10368-DRH-PMF

*Jones et al. v. Bayer HealthCare
Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al No. 3:14-cv-10162-
DRH-PMF*³

Banks et al. v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al No. 3:14-cv-10171-DRH-PMF⁴

¹ This Order of dismissal applies only to plaintiff Brandi Manning's claims. However, as all other plaintiffs have previously been dismissed, this matter will be dismissed in its entirety.

² This Order of dismissal applies only to plaintiff Genise Key's claims.

³ This Order of dismissal applies only to plaintiff Schkaylle Marshall's claims.

⁴ This Order of dismissal applies only to plaintiff Megan Evans' claims.

DeGrazia et al. v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al No. 3:14-cv-10215-DRH-PMF⁵

Goines et al. v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al No. 3:14-cv-10223-DRH-PMF⁶

Ellis et al. v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al No. 3:14-cv-10234-DRH-PMF⁷

ORDER GRANTING DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

HERNDON, District Judge:

This matter is before the Court on Defendant's (Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc.) motions for an order dismissing the above captioned plaintiffs' claims without prejudice for failure to file an appearance as required by this Court's Order and Local Rule 83.1(g)(2).

In each of the above captioned cases, the Court granted a motion to withdraw filed by counsel. The orders granting leave to withdraw expressly provided that if the subject plaintiff (or her new counsel) failed to file a timely supplementary entry of appearance, the action would be subject to dismissal without prejudice under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) for failure to prosecute or to comply with the orders of this Court. To date, and in violation of this Court's orders and Local Rule 83.1(g), the above captioned plaintiffs have not filed a supplementary appearance. In addition, the above captioned plaintiffs have not responded to the pending motions to dismiss.

⁵ This Order of dismissal applies only to plaintiff *Christine DeGrazia's* claims.

⁶ This Order of dismissal applies only to plaintiff *Sylvia Goines'* claims.

⁷ This Order of dismissal applies only to plaintiff *Stephanie Scroggins'* claims.

The plaintiffs must comply with the Local Rules and this Court's orders. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). Accordingly, for the reasons stated herein, the claims of the above captioned plaintiffs are hereby **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

The Clerk of the Court is **DIRECTED** to revise the dockets accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 22nd day of July, 2015.

David R. Herndon



Digitally signed by
David R. Herndon
Date: 2015.07.22
12:52:19 -05'00'

United States District Court